Introduction 0

Composition 00000000000000000 Hybrid systems

Finite State Machines

Marco Della Vedova and Tullio Facchinetti <tullio.facchinetti@unipv.it>

Friday 24th November, 2023

http://robot.unipv.it/toolleeo

Models of computation and abstract machines

In computer science, automata theory studies mathematical objects called *abstract machines*, or *automata*, and the computational problems that they can solve.

Automata comes from the Greek word $\alpha \dot{\upsilon} \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha = "self-acting"$

An abstract machine (a.k.a. abstract computer) is a theoretical model of a computer hardware or software systems.

A model of computation is the definition of the set of allowable operations used in computation and their respective costs. It is used for:

- measuring the complexity of an algorithm in execution time and/or memory space
- analyze the computational resources required
- software and hardware design

Finite State Machines (FSMs)

A Finite State Machine (a.k.a. *finite state automaton*) is an abstract device (simpler than a Turing machine). It consists of:

- a set of states (including a start state)
- an alphabet of symbols that serves as a set of possible inputs to the machine
- and a transition function that maps each state to another state (or to itself) for any given input symbol

The machine operates by being fed a string of symbols, and moves through a series of states according to the transition function.

Output? Different types of FSM are distinguished depending on if the output is produced and how it is produced: before or after a transition.



Example of FSM: an edge-detector

- The purpose of an edge detector is to detect transitions between two symbols in the input sequence, say 0 and 1.
- It outputs 0 as long as the most recent input symbol is the same as the previous one.
- However, when the most recent one differs from the previous one, it outputs a 1.
- By convention, the edge detector always outputs 0 after reading the very first symbol.

Examples of input/output sequence pairs for the edge-detector, among an infinite number of possible pairs:

inputs \longrightarrow outputs 0 1 1 1 \longrightarrow 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 \longrightarrow 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 \longrightarrow 0 1 1 1 1 1 Extended FSM

Composition 00000000000000 Hybrid systems

Graphical representation of FSM using graphs

Edge-detector example



This graphical representation is known as state diagram. A state diagram is a direct graph with a special node representing the initial state. Introduction O Extended FSM

Composition 0000000000000000 Hybrid systems

Mathematical model of a FSM

A FSM is a five-tuple

$$(\Sigma, \, \Gamma, \, S, \, s_0, \, \delta)$$

where:

- Σ is the input alphabet (a finite, non-empty set of symbols).
- Γ is the output alphabet (a set of symbols).
- S is a finite, non-empty set of states.
- s_0 is the initial state, an element of S.
- δ is the transition function: $\delta: S \times \Sigma \to S \times \Gamma$.



Exercise: math model of the edge detector

What $(\Sigma, \Gamma, S, s_0, \delta)$ are for the edge-detector FSM?



- input alphabet $\Sigma = ?\{0, 1\}$
- output alphabet $\Gamma = ?\{0, 1\}$
- state space *S* = ?{*A*, *B*, *C*}
- initial state $s_0 = ?A$
- transition function $\delta = ?...$



Tabular representation of a FSMs' transition function

The transition function $\delta: S \times \Sigma \to S \times \Gamma$ can be represented by a tabular with states on the rows and inputs on the columns. In each cell there is a tuple s, γ indicating the next state and the output.

For example, for the edge-detector FSM, the transition table is:





Introduction 0	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM	Composition 00000000000000	Hybrid systems 0000000000
The notio	n of state			

- Intuitively, the state of a system is its condition at a particular point in time
- In general, the state affects how the system reacts to inputs
- Formally, we define the state to be an encoding of everything about the past that has an effect on the system's reaction to current or future inputs

The state is a summary of the past



Nothing in the definition of a state machine constrains *when* it reacts.

As a discrete system, we do not need to talk explicitly about the amount of time that passes between transitions, since it is actually irrelevant to the behavior of a FSM.

Still, a FSM could be:

- event triggered \longrightarrow it reacts whenever an input is provided
- time triggered \longrightarrow it reacts at regular time intervals

The definition of the FSM does not change in these two cases. The environment where an FSM operates defines when it should react.



- So far we implicitly dealt with Mealy FSM, named after George Mealy, a Bell Labs engineer who published a description of these machines in 1955.
- Mealy FSM are characterized by producing outputs when a transition is taken.
- An alternative, known as a Moore FSM, produces outputs when the machine is in a state, rather than when transition is taken.
- Moore machines are named after Edward Moore, another Bell Labs engineer who described the model in a 1956 paper.

Introduction O	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM	Composition 00000000000000	Hybrid systems

Moore FSM example

Request: Design a Moore FSM that takes characters A-Z as input and returns 1 if in the input there is the string "CIAO".

Note: since the output depends on the current state only, outputs are shown in the state rather than on the transitions in the state diagram.



Notes (valid for Moore and Mealy FSM state diagrams):

- it is often convenient to use the label otherwise on transitions
- *otherwise* self-transition are called "default transitions" and can be omitted



- any Moore machine can be converted to an equivalent Mealy machine
- a Mealy machine can be converted to an almost equivalent Moore machine
- it differs only in that the output is produced on the next reaction rather than on the current one
- Mealy machines tends to be more compact (requiring fewer states to represent the same functionality), and are able to produce an output that instantaneously responds to the input
- Moore machines are used when output is associated with a state of the machine, hence the output is somehow *persistent*

Introduction	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM	Composition	Hybrid systems
0	0000000000●0	000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000	
Exercises				

- Convert the edge-detector Mealy FSM in an almost-equivalent Moore FSM.
- Convert the CIAO-detector Moore FSM to an equivalent Mealy FSM.

Introduction 0	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM 00000000000000000000000	Composition 00000000000000	Hybrid systems
ESM clas	sification			

- **Transducers** are machines that read strings (sequences of symbols taken from an alphabet) and produce strings containing symbols of another (or even the same) alphabet.
 - Acceptors (aka recognizers and sequence detectors) produce a binary output, saying either *yes* or *no* to answer whether the input is accepted by the machine or not. All states of the FSM are said to be either accepting or not accepting. At the time when all input is processed, if the current state is an accepting state, the input is accepted; otherwise it is rejected.
 - Classifiers are a generalization that similarly to acceptors produce a single output when terminates but has more than two terminal states.
 - Generators (aka sequencers) are a subclass of aforementioned types that have a single-letter input alphabet. They produce only one sequence, which can be interpreted as output sequence of transducer or classifier outputs.

Extended state machines

The notation for FSMs becomes awkward when the number of states gets large. Moreover, many applications require to read two or more input sources.

Extended state machines address those issues by augmenting the FSM model

- internal state variables that may be read and written as part of taking a transition between states;
- input valuations: a valuation of a set of variables is an assignment of value to each variable;
- transitions triggered by guards: a guard is a *predicate* (a boolean-valued expression) that evaluates to *true* when the transition should be taken;
- output actions that may be valuations of output variables or function calls.

Extended state machines: graphical notation

The general notation for extended state machines is the following:



- set actions specify assignments to variables that are made when the transition is taken
- these assignments are made *after* the guard has been evaluated and the output actions have been fired
- if there are more than one output action or set action, they are made in sequence

Introduction O Extended FSM

Composition 00000000000000 Hybrid systems

Extended state machine example: traffic light

 $\label{eq:problem:model} \mbox{Problem: model a controller for a traffic light (for cars) at a pedestrian crosswalk.$







Extended state machine example: traffic light

Problem: model a controller for a traffic light (for cars) at a pedestrian crosswalk.

- Use a time triggered machine that reacts once per second.
- It starts in the RED state and counts 60 seconds with the help of the internal variable *cnt*.
- It then transitions to GREEN, where it will remain until the input btn is true. That input could be generated by a pedestrian pushing a button to request a walk light.
- When btn is true, the machine transitions to YELLOW if it has been in state GREEN for at least 60 seconds.
- Otherwise, it transitions to pending, where it stays for the remaining part of the 60 second interval. This ensures that once the light goes green, it stays green for at least 60 seconds.
- At the end of 60 seconds, it will transition to YELLOW, where it will remain for 5 seconds before transitioning back to RED.
- **(2)** The outputs produced by this machine is a function call to light(x), where $x \in \{R, G, Y\}$ represents the color light to be turned on.

Introduction

Composition 0000000000000000 Hybrid systems

Extended state machine example: traffic light

inputs: $btn : \{true, false\}$ outputs: $light(x), x \in \{R, G, Y\}$ variables: $cnt : \{0, \dots, 60\}$



Extended state machines: state space

The **state** of an extended state machine includes not only the information about which discrete state the machine is in (indicated by a bubble), but also what values any variables have.

The number of possible states can therefore be quite large, or *even infinite*.

If there are n discrete states (bubbles) and m variables each of which can have one of p possible values, then the size of the state space of the state machine is

$$|\text{States}| = np^m$$

Extended state machines may or may not be FSMs. In particular, it is not uncommon for p to be infinite. For example, a variable may have values in \mathbb{N} , the natural numbers, in which case, the number of states is infinite.

Introduction 0	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Composition 00000000000000	Hybrid systems
Reachable	states			

Some state machines will have states that can never be reached, so the set of reachable states – comprising all states that can be reached from the initial state on some input sequence – may be smaller than the set of states.

For example, in the traffic light FSM, the c variable has 61 possible values and there are 4 bubbles, so the total number of combinations is $61 \times 4 = 244$. The size of the state space is therefore 244.

However, not all of these states are reachable. In particular, while in the YELLOW state, the count variable will have only one of 6 values in $\{0, \ldots, 5\}$.

The number of reachable states, therefore, is $61 \times 3 + 6 = 189$.

Introduction 0	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Composition 00000000000000	Hybrid systems
Determina	cv			

- A state machine is said to be **deterministic** (or **determinate**) if, for each state, there is at most one transition enabled by each input value.
- The given formal definition of an FSM ensures that it is deterministic, since the transition function δ is a function, not a one-to-many mapping.
- The graphical notation with guards on the transitions, however, has no such constraint.
- Such a state machine will be deterministic only if the guards leaving each state are *non-overlapping*.

Introduction O	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Composition 00000000000000	Hybrid systems

Receptiveness

- A state machine is said to be **receptive** if, for each state, there is *at least one* transition possible on each input symbol.
- In other words, receptiveness ensures that a state machine is always ready to react to any input, and does not "get stuck" in any state.
- The formal definition of an FSM given in the previous slides ensures that it is receptive, since δ is a function, not a partial function.
- It is defined for every possible state and input value.
- Moreover, in our graphical notation, since we have implicit default transitions, we have ensured that all state machines specified in our graphical notation are also receptive.

if a state machine is both deterministic and receptive, for every state, there is exactly one transition possible on each input value

Introduction O	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Composition 0000000000000	Hybrid systems

Nondeterminism

If for any state of a state machine, there are two distinct transitions with guards that can evaluate to true in the same reaction, then the state machine is nondeterminate or nondeterministic. It is also possible to define machines where there is more than one initial state: such a state machine is also nondeterminate.

Applications

- modeling unknown aspects of the environment or system
- hiding detail in a specification of the system
- non-deterministic FSMs are more compact than deterministic FSMs
 - a classic result in automata theory shows that a nondeterministic FSM has a related deterministic FSM that is language equivalent
 - but the deterministic machine has, in the worst case, many more states (exponential)

Introduction 0	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Composition 00000000000000	Hybrid systems

Deterministic vs non-deterministic: example





for any value of x, only one guard evaluates to true

deterministic

for 0 < x < 100, both guards evaluate to true

non-deterministic

n Finite State Machines Extended

Hybrid systems

Behaviors, Traces and Computational Trees

- FSM behavior is a sequence of transitions.
- An execution trace is the record of inputs, states, and outputs in a behavior. A trace looks like:

$$((u_0, x_0, y_0), (u_1, x_1, y_1), (u_2, x_2, y_2), \dots)$$

or
$$x_0 \xrightarrow{u_0/y_0} x_1 \xrightarrow{u_1/y_1} x_2 \xrightarrow{u_2/y_2} \dots$$

where u_i, x_i, y_i represent valuation of the inputs, current state, and outputs' valuation at transition i, respectively.

• A computational tree is a graphical representation of all possible traces

FSMs are suitable for formal analysis. For example, safety analysis might show that some unsafe state is not reachable.



Computational tree example

Recall the edge-detector FSM:

Computational tree:



Example of trace: $((1, A, 0), (1, C, 0), (0, C, 1), \dots) \equiv A \xrightarrow{1/0} C \xrightarrow{1/0} C \xrightarrow{0/1} \dots$ Introduction Extended FSM

Implementation: imperative programming language

```
\squarewhile((c = read()) != EOF) {
 2
         switch( current state ) {
 3
         case A: // initial state
 4
             switch( c ) {
 5
             case '0': write('0'); next state = B; break;
 6
             case '1': write('0'): next state = C: break:
 7
             3
 8
             break;
 9
         case B: // last input was 0
10
             switch( c ) {
11
             case '0': write('0'); next state = B; break;
12
             case '1': write('1'); next state = C; break; // 0 -> 1
13
             }
14
             break;
15
         case C: // last input was 1
16
             switch( c ) {
17
             case '0': write('1'); next state = B; break; // 1 -> 0
18
             case '1': write('0'); next state = C; break;
19
20
             break;
21
22
         current state = next state;
23
```

Extended FSM

Implementation: UML State Machine Diagram

Example: ATM



Reference: http://www.uml-diagrams.org/state-machine-diagrams.html



Implementation: LabVIEW Statecharts

Example: Soda Vending Machine



Statechart Describing a Simple Soda Vending Machine. Source: LabVIEW documentation.

Introduction 0	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM	Composition 00000000000000	Hybrid systems

Implementation: Simulink Stateflow

Example: Soda Vending Machine 15 cents required to get a can, nickel (coin 1) is 5 cents, dime (coin 2) is 10 cents



Source: Matlab-Simulink documentation.

Introduction O	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Composition 00000000000000	Hybrid systems

Exercise: Bug 2 - algorithm overview



essentials:

- motion-to-goal until an obstacle is encountered
- obstacle circumnavigation until the *r* straight line is encountered, i.e., the line passing through the starting point and the goal
- at that point, back to motion-to-goal along the r straight line



Exercise: Bug 2 - hypoteses (1/2)

- Hypoteses:
 - ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet }}$ discretized workspace each point belongs to a finite set W
 - **dist(P1,P2)** a function that computes the distance between P1 and P2
 - isonR(P1) a function that returns true if P1 is on the line r
- Input:
 - **touch** binary variable set by a proximity sensor in front of the robot
 - pos variable in W, updated by a position sensor
- Output (actions):
 - go() robot moves along the straight line in front of it
 - **turn(...)** robot rotates; the action is instantaneous (simplification)
 - coastObs() robot proceeds coasting the obstacle
 - stop() robot stops

Introduction 0 Finite State Machines

Extended FSM

Hybrid systems

Exercise: Bug 2 - hypoteses (2/2)

- State variables:
 - hit variable in $W \cup \{NULL\}$, which stores the hit point
 - **start** variable in W, which stores the starting point. It is necessary for calculating the line start-goal
- Parameter:
 - $\bullet~{\rm goal}$ constant in W

Introduction	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM	Composition
0		000000000000000000000	000000000000000
O	OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO		000000000000000000000000000000000000000

Exercise: Bug 2 - Mealy FSM



Introduction O Finite State Machines

Composition •00000000000000 Hybrid systems

Composition of State Machines



The problem of complex systems

- State machines provide a convenient way to model behaviors of systems.
- One disadvantage that they have is that for most interesting systems, the number of states is very large, often even infinite.
- Automated tools can handle large state spaces, but humans have more difficulty with any direct representation of a large state space.

A time-honored principle in engineering is that complicated systems should be described as **compositions of simpler systems**



there are many different ways to compose state machinescompositions that look similar on the surface may mean

meaning of the notation is called its semantics

the rules of notation of a model are called its syntax, and the

the same syntax can have many different semantics, which

Beware that in the literature and in softwares there exist many syntaxes, many semantics and even many semantics for the same syntax!

different things to different people

can cause no end of confusion

Introduction O

Composition

Hybrid systems

Different types of composition

We consider:

- concurrent composition
 - synchronous
 - asynchronous
- hierarchical composition

Introduction O

Concurrent composition

Two or more machines react either simultaneously or independently.

- Simultaneous reactions = synchronous model
- Independent reactions = asynchronous model

Introduction 0	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Composition 00000000000000	Hybrid systems

Concurrent composition: side-by-side synchronous



- Input and output are disjoint.
- A reaction of C is a **simultaneous** reaction of A and B.
- Modular composition = the composition itself can become a component of further compositions.
- C is itself a FSM.
- Determinacy (at most one edge for every input) is a compositional property.



Concurrent composition: side-by-side asynchronous



In an asynchronous composition of FSM, the component machines react **independently**.

Different semantics: a reaction of C is a reaction of *, where the choice is **.

	*A or B	* A , B or both
**nondeterministic	1	2
**made by the environment	3	4

- 1, 3 are interleaving semantics (A and B never react at the same time)
- In semantics 1, 2 determinacy is not a compositional property
- In semantics 3, 4 a composition has to provide a scheduling policy
- Inputs may be completely missed

Introduction 0	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Composition 00000000000000	Hybrid systems

Concurrent composition: cascade



- **Type check**: any output produced by A must be an acceptable input to B.
- Asynchronous:
 - ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet }}$ some machinery for ${\ensuremath{ data \ buffering }}$ from A to B
- Synchronous:
 - A reaction of *C* is a reaction of both *A* and *B*, which are simultaneous, instantaneous and causally related (outputs of *A* can affect behavior of *B*).



Concurrent composition: feedback

Side-by-side (= parallel) and cascade (= series) composition provide the basic blocks for building more complex composition of machines.



Using the fixed point semantics.

Introduction O Finite State Machines

Extended FSM

Composition

Hybrid systems

Concurrent composition: feedback

Any network of actors can be reduced to a side-by-side composition with feedback.



(c)



Concurrent composition: feedback

If the actors are determinate then each actor is a function that maps input sequences to output sequences (not input symbols to output symbols).

The **semantics of such a feedback model** is a system of equations and the reduced form of Figure (d) becomes

s = F(s)

where s is the **fixed point** of the function F.

The semantics of a determinate actor network is a fixed point.

The existence of a fixed point, its uniqueness and methods to find it are very interesting topics, but they are out of the scope of this course. Check leeseshia.org for more details.

Introduction O	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM	Composition 000000000000000	Hybrid systems
Hierarchica	l composition			

The key idea in hierarchical state machines is state refinement.



What if the machine is in the state C, and g_1 and g_4 become true at the same time?

Proliferation of different variants



Hierarchical composition

Depth-first semantics: the deepest refinement of the current state react first, then its container state machine, then its container, etc.





Preemptive transitions: its guard is evaluated before the refinement.

Reset transitions vs. history transitions: when a historian transition is taken, the destination refinement resumes in whatever state it was last in.



Exercise: hierarchical FSM

Considering the following hierarchical state machine



Build an equivalent flat FSM with preemptive transitions semantics.



Introduction O Finite State Machines

Composition 00000000000000 Hybrid systems

Basic aspects of hybrid systems

Introduction O inite State Machines 0000000000000

Composition 0000000000000 Hybrid systems

Hybrid systems

Hybrid systems combine both **discrete** and **continuous** dynamics.

Hybrid system models are often much simpler and more understandable than "brute-force" models that constrain themselves to only one of the two styles.

Hybrid systems are a powerful tool for understanding and modeling real-world systems.

FSM with continuous input

We have so far assumed that state machines operate in a sequence of discrete reactions. The extended FSM model with guards on transitions can **coexist with time-based models**. We need to interpret state transitions to occur, instantly, on the same timeline used for the time-based portion of the system.

Example Consider a thermostat modeled as a FSM with a continuous time input $\tau : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ where $\tau(t)$ represents the temperature at time t.



FSM with continuous output

In a hybrid system, the current state of the state machine has a **state refinement** that gives the dynamic behavior of the output as a function of the input.

Example Consider the thermostat and suppose to produce a continuous control signal whose value is 1 when the heat is on and 0 when the heat is off.



Introduction	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM	Composition	Hybrid system
0		000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000	0000€0000

Modes vs. states

A hybrid system is sometimes called **modal model** because it has finite numbers of **modes**.

The states of the FSM may be referred to as modes rather than states, which help prevent confusion with state variables of the dynamic system.

Introduction	Fin
0	00

nite State Machines

Extended FSM

Composition 0000000000000000 Hybrid systems

Example: sticky masses - problem definition



Two stick masses are attached to springs. The masses oscillate on a frictionless table. If they collide, they stick together and oscillate together. After some time, the stickiness decays when the pulling forces exceeds the stickiness force s, and masses pull apart again.

Introduction 0	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM	Composition 00000000000000	Hybrid systems 000000€000
-------------------	-----------------------	--------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------

Example: sticky masses - system model



• p_1 and p_2 denote the neutral position of the two springs, i.e. where the elastic force is zero.



Example: sticky masses - behavior



• at start, the two springs are completely compressed

Introduction	Finite State Machines	Extended FSM	Composition	Hybrid systems
0		000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000	00000000€0

Example: sticky masses - behavior



• at start, the two springs are completely compressed

References:

- E.A. Lee and S.A. Seshia, *Introduction to Embedded Systems* - A Cyber-Physical Systems Approach, LeeSeshia.org, 2011. http://leeseshia.org
- J.E. Hopcroft, R. Motwani and J.D. Ullman, *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation*, Addison Wesley, 2003.

http://infolab.stanford.edu/~ullman/ialc.html